

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/572,752	03/21/2006	Syuuji Nakamura	27304U	3327	
20529 THE NATH I	7590 08/27/200 AW GROUP	9	EXAMINE		
112 South We	st Street		HU, JENNIFER F		
Alexandria, V	A 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2821		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/572,752	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JENNIFER F. HU	2821	

	JENNIFER F. HU	2821						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3T CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) \(\text{They raise new issues that would require further cor} \) (b) \(\text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo} \) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach-	ed.					
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								
/Douglas W. Owons/								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2821

Continuation of 3. NOTE: newly amended limitation "mechanically soft magnetic material having plasticity and flexibility" raises new issues. Previously, claim 1 only required "a flexible magnetic body made of soft magnetic material".

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Maruyama does not teach a mechanically soft magnetic material. Applicant argues that "bendable" is not synonymous with "soft." Examiner respectfully disagrees. "Soft" is defined as "yielding readily to touch or pressure". Fig. 7 of Maruyama shows that the magnetic ribbons 41 and 42 yielding in response to pressure or stress. Furthermore, Maruyama teaches the magnetic ribbons are made of amorphous metal because of the material's leatacity (10060).